

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,542	07/18/2005	Yasuhiko Matsushita	070759-0034	6865	
20277 7590 10/19/2007 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR	REET, N.W.		nguyen, Joseph H		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/542,542	MATSUSHITA, YASUHIKO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Joseph Nguyen	2815		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN THE MAILING INTERPORT IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPORTED IN THE MAILING INTERPORTED INTERPOR	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC I.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. poly be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			-		
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•		
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow		•		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	ı.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected.		·		
· —	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.				
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2005 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·			
445	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		pplication No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri				
	application from the International Bure	· ·			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.		
	-				
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/18/05</u> .	6)	· ·		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 5-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 2. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-9 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uemura (US Publication No. 2002/0040982) in view of Bhat et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0025212).

Regarding claim 1, Uemura discloses in figure 3 a light emitting diode having a light emitting element (10) fixed to a lead frame (3) with a conductive adhesive material [paragraph [0057]), the light emitting element having a semiconductor layer including a light emitting layer laid on a first surface of a translucent substrate of which a second surface facing away from the first surface is used as a light emission observation surface. See paragraphs [0056] and [0057].

Uemura does not disclose an angle between a normal to the inclined surface and a crystal surface on which the light emitting layer grows is equal to an angle in which light emitted by the light emitting layer is totally reflected toward the translucent substrate. However, Bhat et al. discloses in figure 3A a light emitting diode having an angle between a normal to the inclined surface and a crystal surface on which the light emitting layer grows being equal to an angle in which light emitted by the light emitting layer being totally reflected toward the translucent substrate to minimize the loss of the emitted light in a light emitting device (paragraphs [0007] and [0016]). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify Uemura by including an angle between a normal to the inclined surface and a crystal surface on which the light emitting layer grows being equal to an angle in which light emitted by the light emitting layer being totally reflected toward the translucent substrate to minimize the loss of the emitted light in a light emitting device.

Regarding claim 7, Bhat et al. discloses in paragraph [0016] the angle is 35-55 degrees, which is in the claimed range of 40-50 degrees.

Regarding claim 8, Bhat et al. discloses in figure 3A the inclined surface is coated with an insulating film (21).

Regarding claim 9, Uemura discloses in paragraph [0047] the semiconductor layer is formed of a GaN compound.

Claims 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uemura and Bhat et al. in view of Kawai (US Publication No. 2001/0035580).

Regarding claim 2, Uemura and Bhat et al. together disclose substantially all the structure set forth in claim 2 except a vertical hole formed to penetrate the translucent substrate and reach the first conductivity type semiconductor layer and with a conductive material formed along the vertical hole so as to conduct the first conductivity type semiconductor layer. However, Kawai discloses in figure 14 a vertical hole 61 formed to penetrate the translucent substrate 51 and reach the first conductivity type semiconductor layer 53 and with a conductive material 62 formed along the vertical hole so as to conduct the first conductivity type semiconductor layer to form a much shorter current path and thus reduce the operation voltage of the light emitting device (paragraph [0086]). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the tie of the present invention to modify Uemura and Bhat et al. by including a vertical hole formed to penetrate the translucent substrate and reach the first conductivity type semiconductor layer and with a conductive material formed along the vertical hole so as to conduct the first conductivity type semiconductor layer to form a much shorter current path and thus reduce the operation voltage of the light emitting device.

Regarding claims 4-6, Uemura and Kawai disclose all the structures set forth in claims 4-6.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The reference (s) of record do not teach or suggest, either singularly or in combination at least the limitation of "an insulating member filling an opening formed in the second conductivity type semiconductor layer with a vertical hole formed above the opening so as to penetrate the translucent substrate and the first conductivity type semiconductor layer" for claim 3.

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am- 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Nguyen

Patent Examiner

October 2, 2007.

KENNETH PARKER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER